UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Middle District of Alabama

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Judgment in a Criminal Case (For Revocation of Probation or Supervised Release) MAJOR FRANKLIN, IV Case No. 2:14cr140-01-WKW USM No. 32053-001 Nate Wenstrup Defendant's Attorney THE DEFENDANT: of the term of supervision. ☐ admitted guilt to violation of condition(s) ☐ was found in violation of condition(s) count(s) after denial of guilt. The defendant is adjudicated guilty of these violations: Violation Number Nature of Violation Violation Ended New Criminal Offense - Violation of the Community 07/18/2017 Notification Act 2 07/06/2017 Failure to Follow the Instructions of the Probation Officer The defendant is sentenced as provided in pages 2 through of this judgment. The sentence is imposed pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984. ☐ The defendant has not violated condition(s) and is discharged as to such violation(s) condition. It is ordered that the defendant must notify the United States attorney for this district within 30 days of any change of name, residence, or mailing address until all fines, restitution, costs, and special assessments imposed by this judgment are fully paid. If ordered to pay restitution, the defendant must notify the court and United States attorney of material changes in economic circumstances. Last Four Digits of Defendant's Soc. Sec. No.: 3698 10/31/2017 1980 Defendant's Year of Birth: City and State of Defendant's Residence: Montgomery, Alabama

W. KEITH WATKINS, CHIEF U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE

11.2.17

Name and Title of Judge

DEFENDANT: MAJOR FRANKLIN, IV CASE NUMBER: 2:14cr140-01-WKW

Defendant delivered on

with a certified copy of this judgment.

Judgment -	– Page	2	of	2

IMPRISONMENT

The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Federal Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a total term of 16 months. The Court finds that the sentence is reasonable when considering the following sentencing factors found at 18 USC 3553(a): the nature and circumstances of the offense and the history and characteristics of the defendant, to reflect the seriousness of the offense, to promote respect for the law, to provide just punishment for the offense and to avoid unwarranted sentence dispanties among defendants. In addition, the Court considered the violation to be a technical violation. The term of supervised release imposed on September 28, 2017 is revoked. ☐ The court makes the following recommendations to the Bureau of Prisons: The defendant is remanded to the custody of the United States Marshal. ☐ The defendant shall surrender to the United States Marshal for this district: at \square a.m. □ p.m. as notified by the United States Marshal. ☐ The defendant shall surrender for service of sentence at the institution designated by the Bureau of Prisons: before 2 p.m. on ☐ as notified by the United States Marshal. ☐ as notified by the Probation or Pretrial Services Office. RETURN I have executed this judgment as follows:

UNITED STATES MARSHAL	
UNITED STATES MARSHAL	
OMTED STATES WERESTELD	 INITED STATES MARSHAI
	UNITED STATES MARSHAL

DEPUTY UNITED STATES MARSHAL